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Purpose

- H-Area Tank Farm Performance Assessment has been issued to South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control and Environmental Protection Agency for review.
What is a PA?

- PA = Performance Assessment
- A Performance Assessment is a key risk assessment tool used to inform closure decisions
  - Models fate and transport of contaminants over long periods of time to determine potential consequences
  - Utilizes informed assumptions
  - Provides most likely consequences of planned actions
• PA provides best estimation of what the dose consequences or chemical concentrations will be over time
  - Focused on determining peak dose or chemical concentration - worst one-year period
  - Reflects potential variation in parameters and identifies key parameters for which the model has the greatest sensitivity (importance)
H-Area Tank Farm
Tank Designs

TYPICAL TYPE I WASTE TANK

TYPICAL TYPE II WASTE TANK

TYPICAL TYPE IV WASTE TANK

TYPICAL TYPE IIIA WASTE TANK
H-Area Tank Farm Information

- 29 waste tanks
  - 4 Type I tanks
  - 4 Type II tanks
  - 4 Type IV tanks
  - 17 Type III/IIIA tanks
- 3 evaporators
- 11 pump tanks
- ~75,000 feet of transfer lines
• PA development began - October 2009
• Public scoping meetings were held April 2010 with NRC, SCDHEC and EPA to discuss primarily approaches and inputs that were different from the FTF PA
• Revision A of the PA submitted to DOE-SR for internal review September 2010
• Revision B submitted for DOE-HQ Low Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group review November 2010
• Issued Revision 0 to SCDHEC and EPA in March 2011
• Eleven Chapters
• Appendices A-O containing modeling outputs
• 391 figures and 198 tables of information in the body of the PA
• 3608 total pages between the PA body (864 pages) and appendices
• 336 references utilized in development of PA
• Modeling is a hybrid approach with the deterministic results (single “best estimate” answer) as the baseline and the sensitivity/uncertainty analyses performed with a probabilistic code (range of results) to evaluate all parameters at once

• Probabilistic analyses will indicate the most sensitive parameters
• Complex system is broken down to more simplistic modeling features which each can change/degrade over time
• Four tanks assumed to be fully submerged in the water table and four tanks assumed to be partially submerged
• Flow in multiple directions and many influenced by a groundwater flow divide within HTF
• Significant plume spread due to flow divide
Near-Field Tank Aquifer Streamtraces
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Far-Field Tank Aquifer Streamtraces

Upper Three Runs

Fourmile Branch
**SCENARIO WITH WELL WATER AS PRIMARY WATER SOURCE**

1. Direct ingestion of well water
2. Ingestion of milk and meat from livestock (e.g., dairy and beef cattle) that drink well water
3. Ingestion of meat and eggs from poultry that drink well water
4. Ingestion of vegetables grown in garden soil irrigated with well water
5. Ingestion of milk and meat from livestock (e.g., dairy and beef cattle) that eat fodder from a pasture irrigated with well water
6. Ingestion of meat and eggs from poultry that eat fodder from a pasture irrigated with well water
7. Direct irradiation during recreational activities (e.g., swimming, fishing, boating) from stream water

9. Dermal contact with stream water during recreational activities (e.g., swimming, fishing)
10. Incidental ingestion and inhalation of stream water during recreational activities
11. Ingestion of fish from the stream water
12. Direct plume shine
13. Inhalation
14. Inhalation of well water used for irrigation
15. Inhalation of dust from the soil that was irrigated with well water
16. Ingestion of or dermal contact with soil that was irrigated with well water
17. Direct radiation exposure from radionuclides deposited on the soil that was irrigated with well water
CHRONIC INTRUDER-AGRICULTURAL (POST-DRILLING) SCENARIO

1. Direct ingestion of well water
2. Ingestion of milk and meat from livestock (e.g., dairy and beef cattle) that drink well water
3. Ingestion of meat and eggs from poultry that drink well water
4. Ingestion of vegetables grown in garden soil irrigated with well water and containing contaminated drill cuttings
5. Ingestion of milk and meat from livestock (e.g., dairy and beef cattle) that eat fodder from a pasture irrigated with well water
6. Ingestion of meat and eggs from poultry that eat fodder from a pasture irrigated with well water
7. Ingestion and inhalation of well water while showing
8. Direct irradiation during recreation activities (e.g., swimming, fishing, boating) from stream water

9. Dermal contact with stream water during recreational activities (e.g., swimming, fishing)
10. Incidental ingestion and inhalation of stream water during recreational activities
11. Ingestion of fish from the stream water
12. Direct plume shine
13. Inhalation
14. Inhalation of well water used for irrigation
15. Inhalation of dust from the soil that was contaminated by drill cuttings and irrigated with well water
16. Ingestion of soil that was contaminated by drill cuttings and irrigated with well water
17. Direct radiation exposure from radionuclides on the soil that was contaminated by drill cuttings and irrigated with well water
- PA development process is regulated by DOE Order 435.1
- Closure requirements are based on:
  - DOE Order 435.1
  - SCDHEC “Standards for Wastewater Facility Construction” [SCDHEC R.61-67]
Perspective

- Federal Annual Limit for “Radiation Workers” = 5,000 millirem (mrem) per year.
- Average Annual Dose Received by US Citizen = 620 mrem.
- Average Annual Dose from Background Radiation = 310 mrem.
- Dose Limit to a Member of the Public = 100 mrem.
- DOE & 10CFR61 Performance Objective to a Member of the Public = 25 mrem.
- Dose from a Roundtrip Flight to the West Coast = 5 mrem.
## Conclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>DOE O 435.1</th>
<th>PA Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-Pathways Dose</td>
<td>25 mrem/yr</td>
<td>1.0 mrem/yr @ 100m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intruder Dose</td>
<td>500 mrem acute</td>
<td>0.7 mrem acute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mrem/yr chronic</td>
<td>54 mrem/yr chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pathways Dose</td>
<td>10 mrem/yr</td>
<td>~4E-06 mrem/yr @ 100m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon Flux</td>
<td>20 pCi/m²/s</td>
<td>1.8E-15 pCi/m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Protection - Maximum</td>
<td>&lt;MCLs</td>
<td>&lt;MCLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminant Levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 CFR 61.41</td>
<td>25 mrem/yr</td>
<td>1.0 mrem/yr @ 100m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intruder Dose</td>
<td>500 mrem/yr</td>
<td>54 mrem/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Calculated Dose Following HTF Closure
Summary

• HTF PA has been completed and is currently undergoing external review
• PA provides information to inform closure decisions
• Planned HTF closure activities result in peak doses/concentrations below regulatory requirements
• PA posted at:
  http://sro.srs.gov/f_htankfarmsdocuments.htm