



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
**ENERGY**

OFFICE OF  
**ENVIRONMENTAL  
MANAGEMENT**

# SRS L-Basin Used Nuclear Fuel Program Update

---

**Maxcine Maxted**

*Spent Nuclear Fuel Program Manager*


Savannah River Site

**Nuclear Materials Committee**


**December 2, 2014**

# Overview of L-Basin

- L-Basin was expanded from the original reactor basin in the 1990s
  - ~3.4 Million gallons of water
  - Pool Depth 17 to 50 feet
  - Receives typical FRR/DRR Material Test Reactor Fuel Assemblies
  - One transfer bay for receipts/shipments



# L-Basin Water Purification System



All water passes through sand filters every 32 hours

All water passes through the ion exchange every 13 days

DEIONIZED WATER MAKEUP

### L Basin Water Facts

- ~3.4 Million Gallons
- Pool depths of 17 to 50 feet
- Concrete walls 2.5 to 7 feet thick
- A Deionizer Resin Train is used to remove and replace unwanted ions

### Water Chemistry Control

Parameter	Normal Value	Operating Limit
Conductivity	<1.5 mS/cm	10 mS/cm
pH	6.1	5.5 to 8.5
Chloride	<0.05 ppm	0.1 ppm
Mercury	<0.014 ppm	0.014 ppm
Copper	<0.05 ppm	<0.1 ppm

rise


# Inventory at SRS

- Approximately 18,400 Assemblies
  - Aluminum Based & Stainless Steel/Zirconium Based UNF (~90%)
  - Highly Enriched & Low Enriched UNF (75% vs 25%)
  - Various shapes, sizes, burn-up percentage, degradation
    - Safely and Securely Stored in Reinforced Concrete Facility, Underwater Basin (L-Area)
    - Continuous Surveillance and Maintenance – 50 additional years of safe storage





# L-Basin Stored Fuels and Capacities

- L-Bundled fuel
  - Typical FRR/DRR Material Test Reactor Fuel Assemblies
  - ~90% full
  - 3045 bundles
  - AROD processing decision eliminates need for new racks
- High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) Fuel Racks
  - 100% full
  - 120 Cores
  - AROD processing decision eliminates need for new racks
- Isolation Cans
  - Over 400 individual isolation cans stored in 12 oversized cans



## Forecast EBS Bundle Positions Filled by FRR/DRR Receipts with H-Canyon Processing





# NRU/NRX Basin Modifications

- AECL has NRU/NRX fuel that is longer and heavier than typical Material Test Reactor Fuel
  - Contract signed in 2012 where prepayment of \$10 Million made for the modifications to be made for receipt of the fuel in L-basin
- Modifications to the Shielded Transfer System (STS) are required to remove the fuel from the LWT cask.
- New unloading station developed to remove the fuel from the basket and load it into bundles for storage in L-basin.
- Fabrication of the STS modifications are expected by end of Calendar Year 2014
- Receipts expected to begin in March 2015.
- Multi-year shipping campaign
- No other modifications are expected for typical MTR Fuels.
- All non-typical MTR fuels will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.



# Current Management Approach

- Continue Safe Wet Storage
- Process up to 1000 bundles and 200 High Flux Isotope Cores
- Continue Operations of L-Basin evaluated by SRNL for safe usage of L-Basin up to an additional 50 years

- Successfully completed the Sodium Reactor Experiment Fuel Campaign in August 2014
  - 147 bundles of SRE and High Aluminum Fuels
  - No recovery of Uranium due to U-232
- Amended Record of Decision allows:
  - Processing up to 1000 bundles and 200 High Flux Isotope Cores
  - 15 bundles completed through October 20, 2014
- H-Canyon continued processing of the Aluminum Cladded Fuel in L-Basin is possible but no decision has been made to pursue this at this time
- H-Canyon cannot process the Stainless and Zircaloy cladded fuels stored in L-Basin (~ less than 10% of the inventory)

- Exchange is currently suspended
  - Aluminum cladded fuel from Idaho would be shipped to SRS
  - Non-aluminum cladded fuel from SRS would be shipped to Idaho
- Repackaging of the Non-aluminum cladded fuel for transportation would be required at SRS
  - Majority of this fuel is known to be compromised (pitted/corroded)
  - Would require an isolation system for repackaging to ensure integrity of the basin water chemistry
- Transportation packaging would have to be identified to work at both locations

- SRS lifecycle assumes dry storage
  - No decision on processing
  - It is the more costly option for capturing liability costs
- Dry Storage Study was conducted in 2012
  - Included information from both Hanford and Idaho
  - Direction was to include as much “commercially available” options as possible
  - Direction was also to assume the final configuration of the fuel was “road ready” (for shipment to a repository)
- Concerns regarding the drying of Aluminum Fuel need to be addressed:
  - How long to dry, how fast to dry to ensure no generation of hydrogen or hydrides

- Storage Pad
  - Dry Storage Report envisioned the pad located in L-area
  - Another report is evaluating the use of a multi-use storage pad
- Multi-use storage Pad
  - Very preliminary study
  - Storage of both Vitrified Glass logs in concrete overpacks as well as dry fuel in concrete overpacks
  - Considers a Central location within the site
  - Major driver for multi-use pad is potentially reduced transportation costs and shared storage costs
  - Difficult to determine any cost savings due to the potential need for fuel drying in a different location from L-Area.

- Fuel is Safely Stored in L-Basin
- Some processing of Fuel is occurring in H-Canyon
- Alternatives to wet storage have been evaluated
- Departmental Decision needed on future direction of fuel storage versus processing