

Meeting Minutes  
Savannah River Site (SRS) Citizens Advisory Board (CAB) – Full Board Meeting  
Hyatt Regency Hotel – Savannah, GA  
May 14-15, 2018

Attendance – Monday, May 14th, 2018

<u>CAB</u> Gil Allensworth Carlos Cato Brian Chemsak Betty Cook David Eisele Thomas French Dawn Gillas Jim Guille Charles Hilton Eleanor Hopson Douglas Howard Ashley Jacobs Narinder Malik Gregg Murray Bob Smith David Vovakes Mary Weber Bobbie Williams	<u>DOE/Contractors</u> Avery Hammett, DOE-SR Jim Folk, DOE-SR Maxcine Maxted, DOE-SR Terry Spears, DOE-SR Thomas Johnson, DOE-SR Soni Blanco, DOE-SR John Lopez, DOE-SR Amy Boyette, DOE-SR Lee Moody, DOE-SR James Tanner, S&K Chelsea Gitzen, S&K Federica Staton, S&K Kristin Huber, SRNS	<u>Agency Liaisons</u> Jon Richards, EPA Heather Cathcart, SCDHEC Grace Anne Martin, SCDHEC Susan Fulmer, SCDHEC Gregg O'Quinn, SCDHEC Sandra Snyder, SCDHEC  <u>Stakeholders</u> Larry Brede, Jacobs Katsuharu Sato Aya Wells
---	---	--

CAB Chair Update: Gil Allensworth, CAB Chair

Mr. Allensworth and Mr. Howard summarized the events of the recent EMSSAB Chairs Meeting in New Mexico. Mr. Allensworth then noted an upcoming recommendation discussion from the BPS committee.

Meeting Rules & Agenda Review: James Tanner, CAB Facilitator

Mr. Tanner reviewed the meeting rules and agenda.

## Agency Updates

Thomas Johnson, Associate Deputy Manager, Department of Energy –  
Savannah River (DOE-SR)

Mr. Johnson summarized the status of various ongoing projects on the site.

### Q&A Session

Ms. Gillas asked if there were 2,900 participants in the recent turkey hunt on site. Mr. Johnson answered no, there were 29 hunters.

Mr. Malik asked if the H Canyon exhaust duct caused any emissions through the air. Ms. Maxted replied no. Mr. Malik then asked regarding the 3H Evaporator if it is the second leak, to which Mr. Folk replied yes. Mr. Malik continued by asking if we are sure leaks have been fixed and how that can be reassured. Mr. Folk responded the original leak at the bottom of the evaporator pot after significant evaluation was determined to be caused most likely by some thinning due to the original design which was repaired, and the current leak was identified after about 55 hours of operation as a very small nodule of salt in two individual places equal to about 3 microns (or 3 human hairs) in leak space. He further noted no water was observed. He continued by noting there will be enhanced surveillances to monitor if an increase in those leakages occur.

Mr. Vovakes asked about a recent local news article regarding SWPF and the points they reported on. Mr. Johnson answered there is believed to be no impact to the January 2021 contractual completion date for SWPF, but the early finish date of December 2018 will not be met. He further noted the issue is in relation to valves within the facility which may need to be replaced impacting cost and schedule.

Mr. Eisele asked how many grams of plutonium are being put into 3013 containers. Ms. Maxted replied they are sent to DOE-SR already filled and they have a maximum of 4.4kg in each 3013. She then went on to explain the materials are taken out of the 3013 and downblended, then they're sent to WIPP. Mr. Eisele then asked how many grams of material are then sent to WIPP in each 3013 container. Ms. Maxted responded 150g, with two downblended 3013 containers fitting into a single Criticality Control Overpack. Mr. Eisele asked what the possibility would be for increasing that loading in the future. Ms. Maxted answered it is set by WIPP for transportation purposes so she doubts it will be increased.

Ms. Weber asked regarding the safety pause what led to that event, and how long it will last. Mr. Johnson replied an ETA on how long the pause will be could not be provided, but DOE-SR has asked the contractor identify some corrective actions and a pause will be held as long as need be until those corrective actions are identified. He further noted something similar occurred during the last DOE-SR safety pause which included non-adherence to procedures.

Mr. Murray asked what the status is regarding the mouse which was found and the status on those findings. Mr. Folk responded the mouse was monitored for contamination, and was found in the area near the tank farms. He went on to note that further investigation was done to determine whether or not a similar occurrence in the same area had happened, which he also noted did not. He explained there is a monitoring program to trap rodents and prevent them from entering contaminated areas including removing food sources as well as using decoys.

Mr. Smith asked regarding Melter 3 and the second leak if it was from a well joint and if so were any x-ray inspection done or will there be in the future. Mr. Folk corrected Mr. Smith and noted the 3H Evaporator was what was being discussed in the DOE-SR update. He then answered the current leaks most likely were in existence 15 years or so ago, but they're so small that salt is suspected to have self-healed those leaks which is why they were only recently found when the salt gathered was noticed after the insulation was removed.

Ms. Williams asked what would happen to the labs in F and H Areas if they were consolidated with SRNL as proposed in the update. Mr. Johnson replied that deactivation services would be carried out in those areas and services would instead be carried out through SRNL as well as SWPF.

Jon Richards, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Mr. Richards noted he is acting FFA for the remainder of the fiscal year for Rob Pope. He then introduced a guest and his interpreter who participates in Fukushima-related public meetings. He continued by summarizing EPA and DOE-SR current projects as well as coordination.

#### Q&A Session

Ms. Gillas asked if regulations being cut by the EPA will impact events at DOE-SR. Mr. Richards answered he did not think so.

Susan Fulmer, South Carolina Department of Health & Environmental Control (SCDHEC)

Ms. Fulmer provided an update regarding general projects under the SCDHEC purview. She also introduced Grace Anne Martin who is replacing Beth Cameron as she takes a new position at SCDHEC.

#### Q&A Session

No questions were asked.

## Committee Updates

Administrative & Outreach Committee Update: Eleanor Hopson, Chair

Ms. Hopson summarized the current activities of the AO committee. Mr. Allensworth noted that on June 11<sup>th</sup> 11:45 until 1:30 the CAB will be presenting at Newberry Hall in Aiken, SC. He invited every CAB member to attend.

Balancing the Demands of EM Scope with the Pension Funding Committee Update:

Tom French, Chair

Mr. French noted this committee is expiring at the end of May, and then noted a draft recommendation will be available for voting during the current full board meeting.

Facilities Disposition & Site Remediation: Narinder Malik, Vice Chair

Mr. French summarized the previous FDSR committee meeting.

Nuclear Materials: Tom French, Vice Chair

Mr. French summarized the current recommendation statuses for NM.

### Strategic & Legacy Management: David Vovakes, Vice Chair

Mr. French summarized the current recommendation statuses for SLM and the presentations provided at the previous full board meeting as requested by SLM.

### Waste Management: Dawn Gillas, Chair

Mr. French summarized the current recommendation statuses for WM.

## Draft Recommendation Discussion

### Budget, Pension & Scope Recommendations

Mr. French summarized the history behind the BPS committee meetings since it was formed, what was discussed at each meeting, and the background information for the proposed draft recommendation.

Mr. Vovakes suggested the recommendation to be changed to FY when referencing years, which was accepted.

Mr. French then noted the suggested outreach mentioned in the draft recommendation. Mr. Vovakes expressed his concern that such a team would need to inform the public every year. Mr. French answered that would probably be best.

Mr. Hilton asked if the pension crisis is unique to SRS. Mr. French replied yes. Mr. Hilton asked how this is an issue at SRS but not any of the other sites. Mr. French responded that increasing pension contributions was something that the rest of the sites did but SRS did not. Mr. Allensworth added a contributing factor was that the pension was determined to be 100% funded and money was removed from the pension, about \$327 million worth. Mr. Hilton noted his fear is that the pension funding would cause the EM mission to be unfunded.

Mr. Vovakes asked why in a previous presentation the pension funding amount for FY 19 was supposed to be about \$300 million but in this recommendation it's just over \$200 million. Mr. Lopez responded it was never estimated at \$300 million in 2019 and is now closer to \$177 million due to market performance. Mr. Vovakes asked how much of a gap that leaves. Mr. Lopez answered that currently the FY 19 budget is up \$184 million from FY 18 according to the president's budget. Mr. Vovakes asked if this now moot and needs to be re-addressed in one or two years. Mr. Lopez replied no, that it absolutely needs to be addressed right now.

Ms. Gillas noted that just because it's included in the president's budget does not mean Congress will approve it.

### EMSSAB Recommendation: Recommendation Regarding the Energy Community Alliance Report on Waste Disposition

Mr. Allensworth summarized this recommendation and its history. He then read it in its entirety and provided more background information.

Ms. Gillas asked if this recommendation is posted on the CAB website. Mr. Tanner answered it is, and it was also sent to the CAB in an email.

Mr. Hilton asked if DOE classifies waste based on its origin. Mr. Allensworth replied yes. Mr. Hilton noted that classifying waste was therefore not determined by science which is truly bothersome. Mr. Folk added that statute is what determines how waste is classified and that was not determined by DOE.

Mr. Smith noted the recommendation should not be debated but passed.

Mr. Murray asked if reclassifying waste was so obvious then why it had not been done before, and if it had been proposed before. Mr. French noted it had been discussed before, but not by Congress.

### Public Comment

Lindsay Harper, deputy director of GA WAND asked regarding the 3H Evaporator leak if changes were made to the procedures to allow a leak like that to go on for 15 years. Mr. Folk responded the fracture causing the leak was likely in existence when the evaporator was fabricated 15-17 years or so ago, so there were no operational changes which would have caused that. He added that new procedures will be created to monitor that area and prevent further leaks.

## Meeting Action Follow-Up

Ms. Maxted noted with relation to Mr. Malik's question regarding the exhaust duct that nothing was noticed as far as coming out of the exhaust tunnel, but periodic inspections of it were done including cameras and crawlers showing some of the concrete degrading away which is currently being evaluated.

END OF DAY 1, May 14<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Attendance – Tuesday, May 15<sup>th</sup>. 2018

CAB  
Gil Allensworth  
Carlos Cato  
Brian Chemsak  
Betty Cook  
David Eisele  
Thomas French  
Dawn Gillas  
Jim Guille  
Charles Hilton  
Eleanor Hopson  
Douglas Howard  
Ashley Jacobs  
Narinder Malik  
Gregg Murray  
Bob Smith  
David Vovakes  
Mary Weber  
Bobbie Williams

DOE/Contractors  
Avery Hammett, DOE-SR  
Jim Folk, DOE-SR  
Maxcine Maxted, DOE-SR  
Terry Spears, DOE-SR  
Thomas Johnson, DOE-SR  
Soni Blanco, DOE-SR  
John Lopez, DOE-SR  
Amy Boyette, DOE-SR  
Lee Moody, DOE-SR  
James Tanner, S&K  
Chelsea Gitzen, S&K  
Federica Staton, S&K  
Kristin Huber, SRNS  
Eloy Saldivar, SRNS

Agency Liaisons  
Jon Richards, EPA  
Heather Cathcart, SCDHEC  
Grace Anne Martin,  
SCDHEC  
Susan Fulmer, SCDHEC  
Gregg O'Quinn, SCDHEC  
Sandra Snyder, SCDHEC

Stakeholders  
Ed Wannemacher, BWXT  
Larry Brede, Jacobs  
Katsuharu Sato  
Aya Wells  
Lindsay Harper, GA WAND  
Annie Laura Howard  
Stephens

Meeting Rules & Agenda Review: James Tanner, CAB Facilitator

Mr. Tanner reviewed the meeting rules and the agenda for the day.

Presentation: Recruitment & Retention –

Lee Moody, DOE-SR, Carol Barry, SRNS, and Dave Hollan, SRR

Mr. Moody summarized current DOE-SR recruitment and retention data as well as efforts, which he noted was updated in April 2018.

Ms. Barry summarized SRNS recruitment and retention data as well as efforts, which she also noted was updated in April 2018.

Mr. Hollan began his presentation by providing background as to what SRR does on site, then he summarized SRR recruitment and retention data as well as efforts. He then noted the information in his presentation were last updated in March 2018.

Q&A Session

Mr. Malik asked how many employees are at SRR and what is the strategy to replace them with full-time employees. Mr. Hollan answered that there's about 100+ subcontractors which are in position assignments which SRR finds the professional need to have but are unable to source from the general market. He continued to note the strategy to replace them is designed to be ebb and flow, not for the subcontractor number to be brought to zero permanently, which is related to the ebb and flow of the budgeting process. Mr. Malik then asked if it's cost-effective to have subcontractor employees rather than full-time employees. Mr. Hollan replied various departments who use subcontractors assess the cost-effectiveness of augmenting those professions to subcontractors rather than hiring someone as a full-time employee through recruitment and retaining efforts. Mr. Malik continued by asking how SRR retains new and young employees who have been with the company for under five years and have gained sufficient knowledge of the nuclear industry. Mr. Hollan answered that for example, in the engineering department SRR has what they call a "ready program" which is a way to assign individuals to various duties within the LW mission which are in many cases 18-24 months with a particular system.

Mr. Cato asked what recruitment and retention efforts SRNS has currently in place for minority-serving institutions. Ms. Huber responded there is an Minority Serving Institutions Partnership Program.

Mr. Vovakes asked regarding SRS authority levels dropping if that was affected by funding. Mr. Moody answered yes. Mr. Vovakes asked what is being done at SRNS and SRR to acquire employees at the senior level of experience. Mr. Hollan answered those types of positions can be filled by current employees, and a succession program is in place to start targeting potential individuals for that type of position so they can be developed through leadership training, etc. Ms. Barry answered SRNS has employees placed in high-level positions right out of school which is important to do. She also noted some new employees are children of current employees, and there are also large-scale hiring efforts for positions such as lab director.

Mr. French asked if each company's diversity profile is improving now that they're allowed to hire new employees. Ms. Barry answered yes, but not to the rate that she is happy with. Mr. Hollan replied the target for SRR LW is focused on bringing in more females and diversity over the coming summer. Mr. Moody responded SRS has a good reputation with hiring a diverse range of employees.

Mr. Howard asked if employees entering through the naval recruitment program still need to access a security clearance and security training to be cleared to work at SRS. Mr. Moody answered yes, but there is a reciprocal security clearance process which is much faster than applying for a new security clearance. Mr. Howard then asked if the attrition rates included medical retirement figures. Mr. Moody replied yes. Mr. Howard continued by asking if DOE-SR receives federal employees transferred from other sites. Mr. Moody responded yes. Mr. Howard went on again to ask if that counts as a new hire. Mr. Moody answered yes for DOE-SR but not DOE.

Ms. Hopson asked if Richmond County could receive more information due to new teachers and employees who are not aware of the many grant and other opportunities provided through SRNS including STEM opportunities. Ms. Barry noted that is currently being distributed to Richmond County, but that person may not be distributing that information.

Mr. Williams asked what the 4.5 shift Mr. Hollan mentioned is. Mr. Hollan explained that in the early 2000's there existed a 5-shift rotation which allowed for training to occur for one full week on any four-week rotation. That was changed in the mid-2000's to a 4-shift rotation and the current 4.5-shift rotation allows for a significant increase in training. Ms. Williams then asked what the retention rate is for school-to-work and interns, and how they are recruited. Ms. Barry replied that SRNS advertises for those positions and conducts outreach in the local schools and universities, along with returning students. Ms. Williams continued on by asking what grade level in high school can students start to participate. Ms. Barry responded high school students are all able to participate in the school-to-work program. Ms. Williams continued yet again by asking how long it takes for an employee to be cleared for work after being hired. Ms. Barry answered that it depends on the employee, and the time has reduced significantly to 10-18 months. She further noted that during this time training is completed.

Mr. Allensworth asked if cybercommand opens up a new stream of employees and if SRS is looking at that as a potential pool for hiring. Ms. Barry replied yes, it does and it makes hiring in the IT area more competitive. Mr. Moody responded hiring has already occurred from cybercommand positions for DOE-SR jobs. Mr. Hollan noted SRR IT is very small, but noted more competition means more talent. Mr. Allensworth asked with contracts ending and going up for bid, how that effects recruiting. Mr. Hollan answered that as long as the mission and long-term opportunities are explained, the contract change is not a detriment. Ms. Barry replied SRNS has already started talking about that, specifically employees who have been through a changeover talking to those who have not.

Mr. Malik asked how the science fairs in Columbia County can be revived. Ms. Barry responded that there are a number of students in Columbia County who participate in the science fair, but school participation is largely up to each one.

Mr. Folk noted the 4.5 shift is a major site commitment in the conduct of operations program. He continued by noting individuals didn't have the opportunity to work together in a training environment such as taking an entire crew to a training simulator, which enhances their opportunity to develop as a team. He further noted before this shift change an individual would get about 75 hours of training per year, and afterwards they now get about 235 hours of training per year.

Presentation: [Final Approved FFA Appendix E](#) – Brian Hennessey, DOE-SR

Mr. Hennessey provided his annual update on the Final Approved FFA Appendix E.

#### Q&A Session

Ms. Weber asked what accounts for the seven-year gap regarding groundwater in the graphic provided in the presentation. Mr. Hennessey answered they did not want to issue a final ROD due to the fact that there's no practical response action which would be cost-effective. Instead, he noted, they focused on what they can address which is TCE and since it isn't causing a terrible environmental problem it was pushed out to a further date when resources would be available and for current remedies to take effect first.

Mr. Chemsak asked if the 15 months from the ROD is to start an implementation plan or for actual work activities. Mr. Hennessey replied that was for the actual remedies to be started.

Mr. Malik asked at what stage is ECA started and when that is completed, is the cost similar to the estimates. Mr. Hennessey responded yes, and cost estimates are given in the ECA. He further noted there's a range of allowed cost that is allowed.

Mr. Hilton asked regarding C and P Area groundwater if the delay for cleanup is occurring for 11 years. Mr. Hennessey answered no, that the a removal action has been proposed to address contaminants which will start April of 2019.

Mr. Allensworth asked if the budget has been set up for all of the aforementioned projects from the presentation. Mr. Hennessey replied that the only budgets that have been created are for FY 18 and FY 19, but this schedule goes a long way in establishing a need for budgeting for these projects for years in the future.

Mr. Smith asked if future annual budgets will be given to the CAB so they can provide recommendations to DOE. Mr. Lopez responded that all future year budgets are embargoed information, all they can talk about is what the president has released.

Presentation: Spent Nuclear Fuel Disposition Strategy – Maxcine Maxted, DOE-SR  
Ms. Maxted provided an overview and status update of the SRS SNF program.

#### Q&A Session

Mr. Guille asked what the options are for the non-Aluminum-clad SNF and will more of it be coming to SRS. Ms. Maxted answered that SRS currently doesn't take any of that type of fuel, anything on site is from previous years – some of which is from a test reactor which was on site. She went on to reply that dry storage which is being done in Idaho is an option, or H Canyon would need to be modified for disposition of this material.

Mr. Eisele asked if the cask has certificate issues or is it good indefinitely. Ms. Maxted replied the on-site 70-ton casks do not meet Department of Transportation requirements and cannot be put on a public road. Mr. Eisele clarified that he was asking about the HFIR casks. Ms. Maxted responded yes the GE2000 casks receive a 5-year certificate for the NRC and they're renewed.

Mr. French asked if the proposed plan addresses all of the NWTRB concerns which were expressed during the previous full board meeting. Ms. Maxted answered the system plan uses the approach of processing and swapping.

Presentation: DOE-SR Budget & Process – John Lopez, DOE-SR  
Mr. Lopez provided an update on the SRS budget process.

#### Q&A Session

Ms. Gillas asked if PBS 11 and 14C would continue to be taxed for infrastructure and 14C would cover other infrastructure. Mr. Lopez responded if NM needs infrastructure funding, that would be under PBS 11 under operational support. LW would be under 14C. She further asked if 42 would be roads, bridges, etc., to which Mr. Lopez answered yes.

Mr. Vovakes asked regarding pension contribution if \$177 million is at 80% which is the minimum funding level, what it would take to get it to 100%. Mr. Lopez replied it won't be 100% funded until 2028, but it would take about \$1 billion up front to get the pension to 100% funding.

Mr. Howard asked what the advantage is to combining the PBS's. Ms. Maxted responded that it is easier to get PBS funded if they're combined.

Mr. Allensworth asked if the budget is still accurate regarding SWPF funding. Mr. Lopez answered that about \$65 million was put into the LW budget from the SWPF budget when it was estimated that SWPF would come in significantly under budget, and SRS has received permission from Congress to move that back to the SWPF budget. Mr. Allensworth then asked if this would cause a delay in building future SDU's, to which Mr. Lopez replied no. Mr. Allensworth continued by asking what percentage of the budget goes to salary, benefits, etc. Mr. Lopez responded none of the budget provided goes to federal employees, but he was not sure the number for contractors.

Mr. Guille asked if the pension was separated in a PBS by itself if that would help the issue. Mr. Lopez answered that due to increasing levels of funding needed and in the event of a continuing resolution, any changes would not be funded.

Presentation: Liquid Waste FY2019 President's Budget – Soni Blanco, DOE-SR  
Ms. Blanco provided an update on the LW operations for FY 19 under the recent president's budget.

#### Q&A Session

Ms. Gillas asked why the goal vs actual canister number is different. Ms. Blanco replied that is due to the outage for SWPF. Ms. Gillas then asked how the SWPF delay will affect the LW budget. Ms. Blanco responded that the work should not slow down regardless of when SWPF starts because they're already behind. Ms. Gillas continued by asking if Ms. Blanco will be able to provide an update at the next committee meeting as to what is going on with relation to SWPF delays and how the startup schedule will be affected. Ms. Blanco answered as soon as they're able based on current evaluations that will be provided. Mr. Tanner added that at the next full board meeting in July, Pam Marks from DOE-SR will be present to give a full update regarding SWPF.

Mr. Malik asked if and when the new contractor comes in for LW will it delay any projects. Ms. Blanco replied no. Mr. Malik then asked if Tank 48 could be cleaned out to make room for new waste, which Ms. Blanco responded to by saying that was a possibility which was being looked into for future years' projects.

Mr. Murray asked what other forms of LW there are inside of the tanks. Ms. Blanco answered that the liquid is evaporated so more space for storage can be used, leaving salt cakes, sludge, Supernate, etc. depending on what is already inside of the tank.

Presentation: Natural Resources Management – DeVela Clark, USDA Forest Service (USFS-SR)  
Mr. Clark presented 10 accomplishments of the USFS-SR.

#### Q&A Session

Mr. Howard asked what the injury was from. Mr. Clark replied that a ladder was used to change a lightbulb on a dozer, and the right equipment was not used which was a wrench. He further explained that when the man pulled the wrench it broke, and he fell off of the ladder. He also noted that it's important to create a learning opportunity for such accidents. Mr. Howard asked how the USFS-SR fills vacancies. Mr. Clark responded that priority is given to existing employees, then the vacancy is filled through resources off-site. Mr. Howard then asked what is done with captured feral hogs. Mr. Clark answered they're disposed of on site. Mr. Howard continued on by asking if Box Elder Bugs are a problem on site. Mr. Clark replied he did not believe so, but would follow up to confirm.

Mr. Malik asked regarding the corridor of trees around SRNL and how far away from the building they're maintained. Mr. Clark responded about 1,000 feet. Mr. Malik then asked if the trees removed are monitored, which Mr. Clark answered no they're not, but he would look into that.

Ms. Williams asked how many logging companies are on site. Mr. Clark replied about 8 larger companies bid on timber from SRS. Ms. Williams then asked if coyotes are still harvested during deer hunting season, to which Mr. Clark responded yes.

Mr. Eisele noted that the absorption by the trees which was mentioned by Mr. Malik is not the case – the absorption mentioned from a technical aspect surrounding SRNL is for surface roughness in an analytical space, taking advantage of the trees for diffusion of release and the money saved is due to equipment which would have been needed to be installed.

#### Public Comment

None.

#### Voting

##### Recommendation #336: Waste Management Milestones

Mr. Allensworth asked for a motion to open this recommendation for voting for closure, which was granted and seconded. The motion was closed with a majority vote: 17 yay.

##### Recommendation #346: Drone Spotting Over SRS

Mr. Allensworth asked for a motion to open this recommendation for voting for closure, which was granted and seconded. The motion was closed with a majority vote: 17 yay.

##### Recommendation #350: Oppose Receipt of German SNF for Treatment & Storage in the U.S.

Mr. Allensworth asked for a motion to open this recommendation for voting for closure, which was granted and seconded. The motion was closed with a majority vote: 16 yay, 1 nay.

##### Draft Recommendation: Budget, Pension, & Scope Recommendations

Mr. Allensworth asked for a motion to open this recommendation for voting, which was granted and seconded. The motion was passed with a majority vote: 17 yay.

##### EMSSAB Recommendation:

##### Recommendation Regarding the Energy Community Alliance Report on Waste Disposition

Mr. Allensworth asked for a motion to open this recommendation for voting, which was granted and seconded. The motion was passed with a majority vote: 17 yay.

~Meeting adjourned

All presentations are available for review on the SRS CAB's website: [cab.srs.gov](http://cab.srs.gov)