The SLM Committee held a meeting on Wednesday, October 17th, 2018, from 6:30 – 8:20 pm, at the Department of Energy Meeting Center in Aiken, SC. It was also streamed online via YouTube and posted to the CAB website and YouTube channel. The purpose of this meeting was to receive updates on the Point of Contact status. There was also time set aside for committee discussion and public comments.

Attendees:

**CAB:**
Carlos Cato  
Betty Cook  
Doug Howard  
Daniel Kaminski  
Joyce Underwood  
David Vovakes  
Mary Weber

**DOE/Contractors/Others:**
Michael Mikolanis, DOE-SR  
James Tanner, S&K  
Federica Staton, S&K  
Chelsea Gitzen, S&K  
Kristin Huber, SRNS

**Agency Liaisons:**
None

**Stakeholders:**
None

Committee Welcome: Dan Kaminski, SLM Chair
Mr. Kaminski welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Point of Contact Status Update: John Lopez, DOE-SR
Mr. Lopez reviewed the current projects related to the committee prevue.

Q&A Session
Ms. Williams asked that since the SC National Guard receives state funding, who does the development belong to. Mr. Lopez answered that if they leave they’re responsible for getting rid of their buildings.

Mr. Vovakes asked if there is an update regarding the strategic plan for DOE and DOE-SR, to which Mr. Lopez replied no. Mr. Vovakes then asked if there was any guidance from OMB for 2020. Mr. Lopez responded that it was embargoed information which he could not discuss.

Mr. Kaminski asked if local law enforcement also trains on site, to which Mr. Lopez answered no.

Ms. Weber asked if the NNSA taking over as the site landlord would be desired or would it affect closures. Mr. Lopez replied that it is one of the three scenarios being considered right now, and NNSA has an enduring mission versus EM who does not. Ms. Weber then stated that it seems that they would be in conflict since cleanup isn’t an NNSA mission. Mr. Lopez noted that EM would be able to focus on cleanup if NNSA took over landlord responsibilities. Mr. Mikloanis also added that this is not uncommon at other sites.

Mr. Howard asked what ARGUS is. Mr. Mikloanis responded that in secure areas employees present an ID to log in and out based on their security clearance, which is old and antiquated. He further added that ARGUS is newer, modern, faster, better, and a cheaper facility access system.
Mr. Vovakes asked what the $20 billion life cycle estimate referred to. Mr. Lopez answered that it is how many years it would take to complete the SRS site mission. He added that it could be reached sooner if a repository is built sooner. Mr. Vovakes then noted that the increase in appropriations to each site is disproportionate.

Mr. Kaminski asked if there’s anything Mr. Lopez sees which the CAB could create recommendations on. Mr. Lopez replied that the LW mission and infrastructure would be good topics, but also noted the CAB has done a good job highlighting these areas already. He added that receiving funding as requested is another huge point which needs to be stressed.

Committee Discussion: SRNL Update
Mr. Kaminski opened discussion on this presentation given at the September full board meeting.

Mr. Vovakes asked why SRNL is not funded separately. Mr. Lopez responded that EM may have to fund it 100% in that scenario instead of 75%.

Mr. Kaminski asked if German fuel research was funded 100% by Germany. Mr. Lopez answered yes.

Committee Discussion: SRS CRO
Mr. Kaminski opened discussion on this presentation given at the September full board meeting.

There was none.

Public Comment
None.

~Meeting Adjourned