

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EISs) and ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS (EAs)
INVOLVING THE SAVANNAH RIVER SITE (SRS) August 2018**

Note: Items underlined are changes made since the previous report.

NEPA ACTIONS INVOLVING SRS

Note: Items underlined are changes made since the previous report.	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Milestones	Comments
Disposal of Decommissioned, Defueled USS ENTERPRISE (CVN 65) DOE/EIS-0524 Department of the Navy (DON): John C. Walker, Document Manager SR POCs: Tracy Williams, EQMD; Dan Ferguson, WDPD EM POC: Catherine Bohan/Bill Ostrum, EM- 4.31						In mid-2016, the Department of the Navy proposed to prepare a new Environmental Impact Statement to consider reasonable alternatives for the disposal of decommissioned, defueled USS Enterprise and NIMITZ class aircraft carrier reactor plants. The DOE was invited by the Navy to participate as a Cooperating Agency as the EIS will consider SRS as a potential destination for the large reactor vessels. The Navy now plans only to consider alternatives for the USS Enterprise <u>and its associated naval reactor plants</u> . SRS will still be considered in the EIS as a potential destination. Originally planned for a November 2018 publication, the Navy has moved issuance of its Notice of Intent (NOI) in the Federal Register in January 2019. This moves scheduling public scoping meetings in the potentially affected States after the holiday season. <u>Scheduling of public scoping meetings may be adjusted in consideration of public interest in the potentially affected States.</u>
<u>Removal of One Metric Ton of Plutonium from the State of South Carolina to Nevada, Texas, and New Mexico: DOE/EIS-0236-S4-SA-01</u> <u>POCs: Jane Summerson, DOE/NNSA NCO; Bill Ostrum, EM-4.31</u>		<u>SA</u>			<u>SA 08/18</u>	<u>On August 6, 2018, NNSA signed its Supplement Analysis for Removal of One Metric Ton of Plutonium from the State of South Carolina to Nevada, Texas and New Mexico (SA for Removal of 1 MT of Pu from SC). Removal of 1 MT of Pu, mandated by a U.S. District Court pursuant to a December 20, 2017 Order, must be completed within 2 years (or by January 1, 2020, at the latest). NNSA proposes to repackage 1 MT of Pu at SRS and transport the repackaged Pu to and from the Pantex Plant and/or Nevada National Security Site for staging until it is transported to Los Alamos National Laboratory for pit production use. The proposed action is perceived as having minimal impacts and risks to other Environmental Management actions at SRS. No updates will be reported in future SRS reports.</u>

SRS EAs

Title, Document Number, Document Manager, point-of-contact (POC)	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Milestones	
EA for the South Carolina Army National Guard Proposal to Construct and Operate Training Facilities and Infrastructure on 750 Acres at the Department of Energy Savannah River Site DOE/EA-1999 SR POCs: Jack Butler, Mission Planning Division Tracy Williams, EQMD EM POC: Sunil Patel, EM-4.11					NOI 09/14	DOE-SR and the South Carolina Army National Guard (SCARNG) are preparing an EA to analyze the potential environmental impacts from the proposed construction and operation of training facilities and infrastructure by the SCARNG on approximately 750 acres at Savannah River Site (SRS). The proposed construction and operation of facilities and infrastructure, based on the draft SCARNG Master Plan, comprise two tracts of land on SRS, the approximately 470-acre B-Area site (Tract A) and the approximately 280-acre Gun Site 51 (Tract B). The draft EA is undergoing internal review. Issuance of the draft EA has been delayed due to additional requirements imposed on the SCARNG by their higher headquarters, National Guard Bureau (NGB). The SCARNG is presently working with NGB to address these requirements.
NEPA Determination for revised SRS Natural Resources Management Plan						DOE-SR prepared an EA in 1993 (DOE/EA-0826, Environmental Assessment - Natural Resources Management Activities at Savannah River Site) to analyze the potential environmental impacts of continued management of SRS natural resources. Based on the analyses in the EA, DOE-SR determined that the proposed action was not a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment within the meaning of NEPA, and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). In 2000, DOE-SR issued a revised FONSI that determined implementation of a revised Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Management Plan would have impacts no greater than those described in the 1993 EA. In 2005, DOE-SR revised its Natural Resources Management Plan (NRMP) to update SRS's natural resources management goals and objectives at the site and to supersede the 1991 NRMP, and issued a revised FONSI that determined that implementation of the revised NRMP was bounded by the 1993 EA and did not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment within the meaning of NEPA. DOE-SR is now revising the its 2005 NRMP to update SRS' natural resources management goals and to supersede its 2005 NRMP. Upon completion of the revised NRMP, DOE-SR will issue its determination as to whether implementation of the updated management goals and objectives would result in impacts greater than those described in the 1993 EA (no date established yet for issuing this determination).

Questions and comments concerning this report can directed to Tracy Williams, DOE, (803) 952-8278, Tracy.Williams@srs.gov